

JUDGE FRANKLIN D. BURGESS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	NO. CR05-5840FDB
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED
vs.	)	MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL
	)	DATE
MYKEL DENNY LOFTUS,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

Upon the stipulation of the parties to continue the trial date in the above-captioned case, the Court finds that a failure to grant the continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii).

The Court further finds that the ends of justice will be served by ordering a continuance in this case, that a continuance is necessary to insure effective trial preparation and that these factors outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial; therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date be continued to July 17, 2006.

//  
//  
//  
//

ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION  
TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE

1 The resulting period of delay from the current trial date of May 8, 2006, up to and  
2 including the new trial date of July 17, 2006, is hereby excluded for speedy trial  
3 purposes under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B).

4 Pre-trial motions are due no later than May 8, 2006.

5 DONE this 17<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2006.

6  
7  
8   
9

10 JUDGE FRANKLIN D. BURGESS  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14

15 Presented By:

16  
17  
18 /s/ Colin A. Fieman  
19 Colin A. Fieman  
Attorney for Defendant

/s/ David Jennings  
David Jennings  
Assistant United States Attorney